

## UNITED STAR S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED IN | AT | TORNEY DOCKET NO.    |              |
|---|-------------|----------------|----|----------------------|--------------|
| 09/423,075 11/01/99                                       |             | NICOLAI        |    | J .                  | 1948-4631    |
| MORGAN & FINNEGAN<br>345 PARK AVENUE<br>NEW YORK NY 10154 |             | QM22/0705      |    | EXAMINER             |              |
|   |             | WHZ270705      |    | SMITH,S              |              |
|   |             |                |    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW TORK N  | 11 10134    |                |    | 3729<br>DATE MAILED: | 07/0/5/01    |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Applicants

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/423,075

Applicant(s)

Nicola

Examiner

Smith

Group Art Unit 3729



| Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·································                        |
|--|--|
| ☐ This action is FINAL.  |  |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935  |  |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).   | to respond within the period for response will cause the |
| Disposition of Claims  | •  |
| X Claim(s) 4-6   | is/are pending in the application.                       |
| Of the above, claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                     |
| ☐ Claim(s)   | is/are allowed.  |
| Claim(s)   |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)   |  |
|  |  |
| Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  |  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  |  |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |
| <ul> <li>The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of the control of the c | under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).                            |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of  | the priority documents have been                         |
| received.  |  |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num  |  |
| received in this national stage application from the   |  |
| *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |
| ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  |  |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.   | o(s)   |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413   | o.   |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>   | 0  |
| □ Notice of informal Faterit Application, F10-192  |  |
|  |  |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T   | HE FOLLOWING PAGES                                       |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09423075

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I

Fig 2a

Species II

Fig 2b

Species III

Fig 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 4 and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09423075

Art Unit: 3729

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to C Rattner on 6/27/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean Smith whose telephone number is (703) 305-0831.

All